Applicant(s)

Application No.

Interview Summary	10/519,796	KOJIMA, HIROSHI	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	MATTHEW D. MATZEK	1794	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):		
(1) <u>MATTHEW D. MATZEK</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Nic Brentlinger</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 09 January 2008.			
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☑ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant	2)⊠ applicant's representative	e]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)☐ Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.		
Claim(s) discussed: all discussed.			
Identification of prior art discussed: all discussed.			
Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached.	g)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N	I/A.	
claims. Examiner also suggested further limiting the curre that of the applied art. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amen allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.	dments which the examiner ag	reed would rend	er the clai
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW TATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW FOR THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW ON THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW ON THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW OF THE SUBSTANCE OF	ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE e last Office action has already of ONE MONTH OR THIRTY TERVIEW SUMMARY FORM,	been filed, APP DAYS FROM T WHICHEVER IS	LICANT IS THIS LATER, T
/Matthew D Matzek/ Examiner, Art Unit 1794			